

County Level Demographics for Working Connections Child Care, State Fiscal Year 2006

Department of Early Learning
Lacey, Washington 98504

March 2007

Prepared by:

Laura Schrage
Jialing Huang

DEPARTMENT OF EARLY LEARNING

Jone Bosworth, Director

Table of Contents

Highlights	1
Methodological Note	2
Tables	
Table 1--Average Monthly Number of Children and Households Using Working Connection Child Care in SFY06 by TANF Status (July 2005-June 2006)	3
Table 2--Percent of Children and Households Using Working Connection Child Care in SFY06 by TANF Status (July 2005-June 2006)	4
Table 3--Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connection Child Care by Facility Type and TANF Status in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)	5
Table 4--Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connection Child Care by Facility Type in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)	6
Table 5--Percent of Children Using Working Connections Child Care by Facility Type in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)	7
Table 6--Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care by Ethnicity in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006), TANF Only	8
Table 7--Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care by Ethnicity in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006), Non-TANF Only	9
Table 8--Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care by Ethnicity in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)	10
Table 9--Percent of Children Using Working Connections Child Care by Ethnicity in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)	11
Table 10--Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care by Age of Child in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006), TANF Only	12
Table 11--Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care by Age of Child in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006), Non-TANF Only	13
Table 12--Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care by Age of Child in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)	14
Table 13--Percent of Children Using Working Connections Child Care by Age of Child in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)	15
MAPS	
Map 1—Percent of WCCC Children on TANF, by County of Provider for SFY06	17
Map 2—Percent of WCCC Children Using Providers Exempt from Licensing, by County of Provider for SFY06	17

Map 3—Percent of WCCC Children Using Center Providers, by County of Provider for SFY06 _____	18
Map 4—Percent of WCCC Children Using Licensed Family Home Providers, by County of Provider for SFY06 _____	18
Map 5—White, Non-Hispanic Children as a Percent of All WCCC Children, by County of Provider for SFY06 _____	19
Map 6—Hispanic Children (Any Race) as a Percent of All WCCC Children, by County of Provider for SFY06 _____	19
Map 7—Black or African American Children as a Percent of All WCCC Children, by County of Provider for SFY06 _____	20
Map 8—Asian or Pacific Islander Children as a Percent of All WCCC Children, by County of Provider for SFY06 _____	20
Map 9—American Indian or Alaska Native Children as a Percent of All WCCC Children, by County of Provider for SFY06 _____	21

GRAPH

Graph 1--Percent of Children Using Working Connections Child Care by Facility Type in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)_____	21
---	----

Highlights

This report provides county level demographics on children and households that received child care services through Working Connections Child Care (WCCC) in State Fiscal Year 2006 (SFY06: July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006). In addition to the notes on each table, detail about the information in the tables and maps is presented in the Methodological Note on the following page. The data in general speak for themselves, but a few findings are worth highlighting:

- Statewide, 22% of WCCC children that used subsidized child care in SFY06 were in households receiving Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF). Of the eleven counties with percentages above the state average, eight were west of the Cascades. The five counties with the highest percentage of WCCC children in households receiving TANF were Skamania (35%), Klickitat (32%), Grays Harbor (30%), Pend Oreille (30%), and Cowlitz (27%).
- Statewide 52% of WCCC children using subsidized child care in SFY06 received care in child care centers. All five major metropolitan counties in Washington (Clark, King, Pierce, Snohomish, and Spokane) exceeded the statewide average. Of the ten counties where less than one-third of the children using subsidized child care were in centers, all but Skagit County were in Eastern Washington.
- Statewide 28% of WCCC children using subsidized child care in SFY06 received care in a licensed family home. All five major metropolitan counties except King in Washington (Clark, Pierce, Snohomish, and Spokane) used less than the statewide average. Over half of all children in WCCC were in licensed family homes in five rural counties in Central Washington (Adams, 68%; Chelan, 61%; Douglas, 72%; Franklin, 59%; and Grant, 54%).
- Statewide 20% of WCCC children using subsidized child care in SFY06 received care in an exempt setting, either being cared for in their own home or in the home of a close relative. Only in three rural counties (Ferry, 70%; Pend Oreille, 57%; and Stevens, 38%) were over one-third of all children served by WCCC cared for by exempt providers.
- Statewide, 21% of all WCCC children are Hispanic (of any race), but in six counties in Central Washington over 50% of all WCCC children are Hispanic (Adams, 79%; Chelan, 52%; Douglas, 53%; Franklin, 70%; Grant, 59%; Yakima, 61%). Over one-quarter of all Hispanic children served in WCCC are in Yakima County (3,314 out of 12,708).
- The number of Black or African American children served in King and Pierce Counties per month is 5,193 (3,477 in King County and 1,716 in Pierce County), almost 80% of the total Black or African American children served through WCCC per month (6,468).
- The number of Asian or Pacific Islanders served in King and Pierce Counties per month is 1,007 (733 in King County and 274 in Pierce County), almost 70% of the total Asian or Pacific Islander children served through WCCC per month (1,451).
- The number of American Indian or Alaska Native children served by WCCC is scattered around the state; 64% are in the six counties that served at least 100 American Indian or Alaska Native children per month (King, 129; Okanogan, 114; Pierce, 105; Snohomish, 104; Spokane, 175; and Yakima, 189). In three counties (Ferry, 19%; Okanogan, 19%; Stevens, 15%) over 10% of children served through WCCC were American Indian or Alaska Native children. Of the 1,280 American Indian or Alaska Native children served per month through WCCC, 28% were in either Yakima or Spokane counties.

Methodological Note

Counts of Children and Households. The information in this report is based on the Social Services Payment System (SSPS) payment files current through December 2006. It covers child care services provided through Working Connections Child Care during the period July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006. SSPS files do not contain a personal identifier. Fields containing personal information on the primary recipient (household head) were used to create a unique personal identifier for households. Similar fields on the service recipient (person receiving the service or child) were used to create a unique personal identifier for children.

TANF status. All primary recipients were linked to the client tables in ACES to establish whether or not the primary recipient was enrolled in TANF for the month that they used subsidized child care services. The TANF status of the child was based on the TANF status of the primary recipient on whose behalf child care was authorized.

County of Provider. The county of the provider was identified using information in the licensing files indicating the physical location of the provider in addition to the variable for the provider's county contained in the SSPS payment file. Children using providers in more than one county were assigned to the in-state county in which the most payments were made. Children reported in the out-of-state category are those who only used out-of-state providers in the given month.

Type of Provider. This information is from the SSPS payment files. Children receiving care from more than one type of provider are counted in multiple categories. Exempt Care is child care that is exempt from licensing and is provided either in the child's home or in the home of a relative; Center Care is in a child care center; and Family Home Care is provided by a licensed or certified family home provider. Children whose care could not be assigned to one of these major care categories are reported in the Other/Unknown category.

Race/Ethnicity of Child. Ethnicity was coded based on information from ACES and SSPS; SSPS has only one field available for coding both race and ethnicity. The categories used in this report are: White, Non-Hispanic; Hispanic, Any Race; Black or African American, Non-Hispanic; Asian or Pacific Islander, Non-Hispanic; American Indian and Alaska Native, Non-Hispanic; and Other.

Age of Child. The age of the child was identified using information in the SSPS payment file indicating the age of the child when services were received. The following age categories were used: Infant, under 12 months old in the month of service; Toddler, 12-29 months old; Preschool, 30 or more months old and not eligible by age to be enrolled in kindergarten; School-age, eligible by age to be in kindergarten or a higher grade.

Percent. Percent is based on average monthly number. In three counties averaging less than 25 children served per month, percentage calculations were suppressed because the percentage calculations for those counties will fluctuate widely based on the movement of a few cases.

For further clarification on the information in this report please contact: Jialing Huang, Department of Early Learning (phone: 360-725-4684; email: Jialing.Huang@del.wa.gov).

Table 1:
Average Monthly Number of Children and Households Using
Working Connections Child Care in SFY06 by TANF Status (July 2005-June 2006)

County of Provider	Number of Children		Number of Households	
	TANF	NON-TANF	TANF	NON-TANF
01 ADAMS	32	214	18	116
02 ASOTIN	60	210	39	129
03 BENTON	365	1,521	204	871
04 CHELAN	155	1,084	93	596
05 CLALLAM	179	505	112	316
06 CLARK	872	3,035	515	1,779
07 COLUMBIA	4	21	2	12
08 COWLITZ	420	1,126	250	657
09 DOUGLAS	51	505	31	271
10 FERRY	11	43	6	26
11 FRANKLIN	278	1,489	146	781
12 GARFIELD	0	3	0	2
13 GRANT	290	1,193	154	630
14 GRAYS HARBOR	212	483	139	304
15 ISLAND	50	287	32	176
16 JEFFERSON	38	139	26	93
17 KING	3,174	8,649	1,912	5,371
18 KITSAP	332	1,221	211	782
19 KITTITAS	50	156	33	97
20 KLUCKITAT	36	76	20	45
21 LEWIS	222	666	138	398
22 LINCOLN	4	45	3	24
23 MASON	89	390	62	235
24 OKANOGAN	67	538	42	290
25 PACIFIC	25	105	15	59
26 PEND OREILLE	19	43	12	25
27 PIERCE	1,873	5,449	1,112	3,207
28 SAN JUAN	6	47	3	30
29 SKAGIT	252	1,187	150	722
30 SKAMANIA	23	43	12	26
31 SNOHOMISH	996	3,247	650	2,019
32 SPOKANE	1,184	5,173	735	3,161
33 STEVENS	57	254	34	143
34 THURSTON	475	1,758	317	1,097
35 WAHAKIYAKUM	3	12	2	7
36 WALLA WALLA	103	459	59	275
37 WHATCOM	331	1,206	208	758
38 WHITMAN	22	154	14	96
39 YAKIMA	1,175	4,269	626	2,286
40 OUT OF STATE	63	254	34	138
TOTAL	13,597	47,258	8,168	28,049

Source: SSPS payment files, CAMIS licensing files, and ACES eligibility files.

Notes: 1. TANF status was determined based on linkage of primary recipient (household head) information in SSPS payment file to TANF receipt as indicated in the ACES eligibility file. 2. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made.

**Table 2:
Percent of Children and Households Using
Working Connections Child Care in SFY06 by TANF Status (July 2005-June 2006)**

County of Provider	Percent of Children		Percent of Households	
	TANF	NON-TANF	TANF	NON-TANF
01 ADAMS	12.9%	87.1%	13.6%	86.4%
02 ASOTIN	22.1%	77.9%	22.9%	77.1%
03 BENTON	19.3%	80.7%	18.9%	81.1%
04 CHELAN	12.5%	87.5%	13.5%	86.5%
05 CLALLAM	26.2%	73.8%	26.1%	73.9%
06 CLARK	22.3%	77.7%	22.5%	77.5%
07 COLUMBIA *				
08 COWLITZ	27.2%	72.8%	27.6%	72.4%
09 DOUGLAS	9.1%	90.9%	10.3%	89.7%
10 FERRY	20.3%	79.7%	18.4%	81.6%
11 FRANKLIN	15.7%	84.3%	15.7%	84.3%
12 GARFIELD *				
13 GRANT	19.6%	80.4%	19.7%	80.3%
14 GRAYS HARBOR	30.5%	69.5%	31.4%	68.6%
15 ISLAND	14.9%	85.1%	15.4%	84.6%
16 JEFFERSON	21.4%	78.6%	21.7%	78.3%
17 KING	26.8%	73.2%	26.2%	73.8%
18 KITSAP	21.4%	78.6%	21.2%	78.8%
19 KITTITAS	24.5%	75.5%	25.3%	74.7%
20 KLUCKITAT	31.9%	68.1%	31.2%	68.8%
21 LEWIS	25.0%	75.0%	25.8%	74.2%
22 LINCOLN	7.8%	92.2%	9.8%	90.2%
23 MASON	18.6%	81.4%	20.9%	79.1%
24 OKANOGAN	11.0%	89.0%	12.6%	87.4%
25 PACIFIC	19.5%	80.5%	20.6%	79.4%
26 PEND OREILLE	30.4%	69.6%	32.4%	67.6%
27 PIERCE	25.6%	74.4%	25.8%	74.2%
28 SAN JUAN	11.8%	88.2%	8.0%	92.0%
29 SKAGIT	17.5%	82.5%	17.2%	82.8%
30 SKAMANIA	34.8%	65.2%	31.5%	68.5%
31 SNOHOMISH	23.5%	76.5%	24.4%	75.6%
32 SPOKANE	18.6%	81.4%	18.9%	81.1%
33 STEVENS	18.2%	81.8%	19.0%	81.0%
34 THURSTON	21.3%	78.7%	22.4%	77.6%
35 WAHKIAKUM *				
36 WALLA WALLA	18.4%	81.6%	17.5%	82.5%
37 WHATCOM	21.5%	78.5%	21.5%	78.5%
38 WHITMAN	12.6%	87.4%	12.6%	87.4%
39 YAKIMA	21.6%	78.4%	21.5%	78.5%
40 OUT OF STATE	19.9%	80.1%	20.0%	80.0%
TOTAL	22.3%	77.7%	22.6%	77.4%

Source: SSPS payment files, CAMIS licensing files, and ACES eligibility files.

Notes: 1. TANF status was determined based on linkage of primary recipient (household head) information in SSPS payment file to TANF receipt as indicated in the ACES eligibility file. 2. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 3. Percent is based on average monthly number of children/households. 4. * Starred counties: percentage data for three counties serving less than 25 children per month on WCCC is suppressed because the percentage calculations for those counties will fluctuate widely based on the movement of a few cases.

**Table 3:
Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care
by Facility Type and TANF Status in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)**

County of Provider	TANF				Non-TANF			
	Exempt	Center	Family Home	Other/Unknown	Exempt	Center	Family Home	Other/Unknown
01 ADAMS	7	3	22	0	50	24	145	0
02 ASOTIN	11	50	2	1	68	146	12	3
03 BENTON	97	175	103	1	431	630	502	6
04 CHELAN	29	53	77	0	200	234	674	2
05 CLALLAM	35	116	42	3	122	281	123	4
06 CLARK	206	515	175	7	799	1,699	647	19
07 COLUMBIA	2	0	2	0	10	0	12	0
08 COWLITZ	105	269	66	3	351	593	258	5
09 DOUGLAS	3	23	27	0	56	89	374	1
10 FERRY	5	4	2	0	32	12	3	0
11 FRANKLIN	51	82	149	2	365	270	885	7
12 GARFIELD	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
13 GRANT	75	96	122	1	293	246	676	3
14 GRAYS HARBOR	37	129	48	2	129	213	155	3
15 ISLAND	12	21	19	1	67	120	104	2
16 JEFFERSON	4	23	12	0	33	74	38	1
17 KING	448	1,663	1,083	25	1,277	5,151	2,322	46
18 KITSAP	49	228	58	3	197	786	254	10
19 KITTITAS	7	27	19	0	33	76	53	1
20 KLICKITAT	5	24	7	0	28	25	29	1
21 LEWIS	45	121	61	1	190	291	213	2
22 LINCOLN	2	1	1	0	12	14	20	0
23 MASON	13	49	28	1	97	180	129	2
24 OKANOGAN	20	25	24	0	143	204	203	2
25 PACIFIC	6	16	4	1	23	60	26	1
26 PEND OREILLE	10	6	4	0	25	11	8	0
27 PIERCE	280	1,323	261	30	1,145	3,496	883	56
28 SAN JUAN	0	6	0	0	1	43	2	0
29 SKAGIT	43	92	123	2	211	376	640	5
30 SKAMANIA	1	13	10	0	9	18	22	0
31 SNOHOMISH	126	656	226	7	469	2,057	769	24
32 SPOKANE	165	916	122	9	1,042	3,571	719	37
33 STEVENS	20	26	13	1	100	78	87	1
34 THURSTON	59	342	86	4	304	1,119	397	13
35 WAHIAKUM	0	3	0	0	1	10	2	0
36 WALLA WALLA	31	40	35	1	152	184	131	3
37 WHATCOM	56	199	82	2	304	664	277	8
38 WHITMAN	4	15	4	0	24	108	26	1
39 YAKIMA	296	434	462	6	1,148	1,264	1,922	19
40 OUT OF STATE	22	24	17	1	80	100	75	1
TOTAL	2,388	7,809	3,596	115	10,020	24,515	13,816	290

Source: SSPS payment files, CAMIS licensing files, and ACES eligibility files.

Notes: 1. TANF status was determined based on linkage of primary recipient (household head) information in SSPS payment file to TANF receipt as indicated in the ACES eligibility file. 2. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 3. Children receiving care from more than one type of provider are counted in more than one care category. Only children with codes in none of the three major care categories (exempt, center, family homes) are reported in the Other/Unknown category.

**Table 4:
Average Monthly Number of Children Using
Working Connections Child Care by Facility Type in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)**

	County of Provider	Exempt	Center	Family Home	Other/Unknown
01	ADAMS	57	27	168	0
02	ASOTIN	79	196	14	4
03	BENTON	527	804	605	8
04	CHELAN	229	287	751	2
05	CLALLAM	158	397	164	6
06	CLARK	1,005	2,214	822	26
07	COLUMBIA	12	0	14	0
08	COWLITZ	456	862	323	8
09	DOUGLAS	59	112	401	1
10	FERRY	38	16	5	1
11	FRANKLIN	417	352	1,034	9
12	GARFIELD	2	1	0	0
13	GRANT	368	343	799	4
14	GRAYS HARBOR	166	342	203	5
15	ISLAND	79	141	123	3
16	JEFFERSON	37	97	49	2
17	KING	1,725	6,814	3,405	71
18	KITSAP	246	1,014	313	13
19	KITTITAS	40	103	73	1
20	KLICKITAT	32	49	36	1
21	LEWIS	236	412	275	3
22	LINCOLN	14	15	21	0
23	MASON	110	229	157	3
24	OKANOGAN	164	229	227	2
25	PACIFIC	29	76	29	1
26	PEND OREILLE	35	17	12	0
27	PIERCE	1,425	4,819	1,144	86
28	SAN JUAN	2	49	2	0
29	SKAGIT	254	469	763	7
30	SKAMANIA	10	31	31	0
31	SNOHOMISH	595	2,714	995	32
32	SPOKANE	1,207	4,486	841	46
33	STEVENS	119	103	100	2
34	THURSTON	363	1,461	483	17
35	WAHKIAKUM	1	13	2	0
36	WALLA WALLA	183	224	166	3
37	WHATCOM	359	863	359	10
38	WHITMAN	28	123	30	1
39	YAKIMA	1,444	1,698	2,384	25
40	OUT OF STATE	102	123	92	2
	TOTAL	12,408	32,324	17,411	405

Source: SSPS payment files, CAMIS licensing files, and ACES eligibility files.

Notes: 1. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 2. Children receiving care from more than one type of provider are counted in more than one care category. Only children with codes in none of the three major care categories (exempt, center, family homes) are reported in the Other/Unknown category.

**Table 5:
Percent of Children Using Working Connections Child Care
by Facility Type in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)**

	County of Provider	Exempt	Center	Family Home	Other/Unknown
01	ADAMS	22.6%	10.7%	66.6%	0.1%
02	ASOTIN	27.0%	67.1%	4.7%	1.2%
03	BENTON	27.1%	41.4%	31.1%	0.4%
04	CHELAN	18.1%	22.6%	59.1%	0.2%
05	CLALLAM	21.7%	54.8%	22.6%	0.9%
06	CLARK	24.7%	54.4%	20.2%	0.6%
07	COLUMBIA *				
08	COWLITZ	27.6%	52.3%	19.6%	0.5%
09	DOUGLAS	10.3%	19.6%	69.9%	0.2%
10	FERRY	64.3%	26.6%	8.3%	0.9%
11	FRANKLIN	23.0%	19.4%	57.1%	0.5%
12	GARFIELD *				
13	GRANT	24.3%	22.6%	52.8%	0.3%
14	GRAYS HARBOR	23.2%	47.8%	28.3%	0.7%
15	ISLAND	22.8%	40.8%	35.7%	0.7%
16	JEFFERSON	19.8%	52.5%	26.8%	0.9%
17	KING	14.4%	56.7%	28.3%	0.6%
18	KITSAP	15.5%	63.9%	19.7%	0.8%
19	KITTITAS	18.6%	47.3%	33.5%	0.6%
20	KLICKITAT	27.3%	41.2%	30.4%	1.1%
21	LEWIS	25.5%	44.5%	29.7%	0.4%
22	LINCOLN	28.1%	30.3%	41.2%	0.3%
23	MASON	22.1%	45.9%	31.5%	0.5%
24	OKANOGAN	26.3%	36.8%	36.5%	0.3%
25	PACIFIC	21.4%	56.2%	21.7%	0.7%
26	PEND OREILLE	55.1%	26.3%	18.5%	0.1%
27	PIERCE	19.1%	64.5%	15.3%	1.2%
28	SAN JUAN	2.8%	92.6%	4.5%	0.0%
29	SKAGIT	17.0%	31.4%	51.1%	0.4%
30	SKAMANIA	13.9%	42.9%	42.9%	0.2%
31	SNOHOMISH	13.7%	62.6%	23.0%	0.7%
32	SPOKANE	18.3%	68.2%	12.8%	0.7%
33	STEVENS	36.8%	31.9%	30.8%	0.5%
34	THURSTON	15.6%	62.9%	20.8%	0.7%
35	WAHKIAKUM *				
36	WALLA WALLA	31.7%	38.9%	28.8%	0.6%
37	WHATCOM	22.6%	54.3%	22.6%	0.6%
38	WHITMAN	15.2%	67.7%	16.6%	0.5%
39	YAKIMA	26.0%	30.6%	42.9%	0.5%
40	OUT OF STATE	32.0%	38.7%	28.8%	0.5%
	TOTAL	19.8%	51.7%	27.8%	0.6%

Source: SSPS payment files, CAMIS licensing files, and ACES eligibility files.

Notes: 1. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 2. Children receiving care from more than one type of provider are counted in more than one care category; thus the percentages will add up to more than 100%. Only children with codes in none of the three major care categories (exempt, center, family homes) are reported in the Other/Unknown category. 3. Percent is based on average monthly number of children. 4. * Starred counties: percentage data for three counties serving less than 25 children per month on WCCC is suppressed because the percentage calculations for those counties will fluctuate widely based on the movement of a few cases.

Table 6:
Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care
by Ethnicity in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)
TANF Only

County of Provider	White, Non-Hispanic	Hispanic, Any Race	Non-Hispanic Minority Groups				Other	Missing
			Black or African American	Asian or Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native			
01 ADAMS	5	24	0	0	0	3	0	
02 ASOTIN	51	4	1	0	0	1	2	
03 BENTON	173	125	9	2	2	35	18	
04 CHELAN	80	56	0	0	0	10	8	
05 CLALLAM	144	13	0	1	11	7	4	
06 CLARK	591	91	78	15	7	36	55	
07 COLUMBIA	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	
08 COWLITZ	316	48	8	3	7	9	31	
09 DOUGLAS	31	16	0	0	1	1	1	
10 FERRY	7	2	0	0	1	0	1	
11 FRANKLIN	54	178	9	1	2	21	14	
12 GARFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
13 GRANT	102	156	8	0	2	19	3	
14 GRAYS HARBOR	157	27	5	1	15	2	6	
15 ISLAND	33	9	4	0	0	2	3	
16 JEFFERSON	33	0	0	0	1	2	2	
17 KING	868	321	1,270	139	49	312	215	
18 KITSAP	194	29	40	14	11	17	29	
19 KITTITAS	34	6	2	1	0	5	4	
20 KLUCKITAT	22	7	0	0	4	1	1	
21 LEWIS	172	24	1	0	2	6	17	
22 LINCOLN	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	
23 MASON	65	11	2	1	1	3	6	
24 OKANOGAN	38	22	1	0	3	2	1	
25 PACIFIC	14	9	1	0	1	0	1	
26 PEND OREILLE	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	
27 PIERCE	806	160	534	59	30	111	174	
28 SAN JUAN	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	
29 SKAGIT	127	98	1	0	5	13	7	
30 SKAMANIA	18	0	0	1	1	1	2	
31 SNOHOMISH	642	98	64	17	24	53	97	
32 SPOKANE	896	61	106	13	21	39	50	
33 STEVENS	46	6	0	0	2	2	1	
34 THURSTON	339	34	28	18	5	24	27	
35 WAHIAKUM	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	
36 WALLA WALLA	60	35	1	1	1	4	2	
37 WHATCOM	189	69	6	6	31	16	13	
38 WHITMAN	18	1	2	0	1	1	1	
39 YAKIMA	256	686	8	6	90	85	43	
40 OUT OF STATE	48	4	9	0	0	1	2	
TOTAL	6,659	2,431	2,197	300	328	842	841	

Source: SSPS payment files, CAMIS licensing files, and ACES eligibility files.

Notes: 1. TANF status was determined based on linkage of primary recipient (household head) information in SSPS payment file to TANF receipt as indicated in the ACES eligibility file. 2. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 3. Ethnicity was coded based on information from ACES and SSPS; SSPS has only one field available for the coding of both race and ethnicity.

**Table 7:
Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care
by Ethnicity in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)
Non-TANF Only**

County of Provider	White, Non-Hispanic	Hispanic, Any Race	Non-Hispanic Minority Groups				Other	Missing
			Black or African American	Asian or Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native			
01 ADAMS	34	169	0	0	0	10	1	
02 ASOTIN	174	19	1	0	3	6	6	
03 BENTON	766	530	18	10	2	123	72	
04 CHELAN	394	583	0	1	7	63	36	
05 CLALLAM	397	20	2	9	51	13	13	
06 CLARK	2,257	292	144	46	14	91	192	
07 COLUMBIA	17	4	0	0	0	0	0	
08 COWLITZ	918	104	7	8	14	27	47	
09 DOUGLAS	181	278	1	4	2	25	15	
10 FERRY	31	0	0	0	10	0	1	
11 FRANKLIN	197	1,060	24	6	3	135	65	
12 GARFIELD	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	
13 GRANT	403	711	10	2	4	52	12	
14 GRAYS HARBOR	333	89	6	1	25	14	17	
15 ISLAND	175	38	13	5	2	14	40	
16 JEFFERSON	122	4	1	1	2	2	7	
17 KING	3,093	1,081	2,207	594	80	852	743	
18 KITSAP	798	75	103	34	48	39	125	
19 KITTITAS	115	17	1	4	1	8	11	
20 KLICKITAT	64	5	1	0	4	1	2	
21 LEWIS	550	60	4	0	4	20	29	
22 LINCOLN	35	5	0	0	3	0	2	
23 MASON	289	53	1	1	20	10	16	
24 OKANOGAN	183	220	3	0	111	14	7	
25 PACIFIC	80	16	1	0	2	3	4	
26 PEND OREILLE	41	0	0	0	1	1	0	
27 PIERCE	2,606	465	1,182	215	75	313	593	
28 SAN JUAN	32	7	2	2	0	1	2	
29 SKAGIT	549	497	14	2	12	73	41	
30 SKAMANIA	32	4	0	0	2	5	1	
31 SNOHOMISH	2,086	368	170	68	80	229	247	
32 SPOKANE	4,180	229	204	36	154	144	226	
33 STEVENS	194	4	4	1	44	5	4	
34 THURSTON	1,313	125	80	40	15	70	114	
35 WAHIAKUM	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	
36 WALLA WALLA	194	211	3	6	0	29	17	
37 WHATCOM	732	262	12	26	51	72	52	
38 WHITMAN	114	11	10	5	5	7	2	
39 YAKIMA	963	2,628	27	24	98	360	168	
40 OUT OF STATE	178	32	18	2	3	7	13	
TOTAL	24,830	10,277	4,272	1,152	953	2,833	2,943	

Source: SSPS payment files, CAMIS licensing files, and ACES eligibility files.

Notes: 1. TANF status was determined based on linkage of primary recipient (household head) information in SSPS payment file to TANF receipt as indicated in the ACES eligibility file. 2. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 3. Ethnicity was coded based on information from ACES and SSPS; SSPS has only one field available for the coding of both race and ethnicity.

**Table 8:
Average Monthly Number of Children Using
Working Connections Child Care by Ethnicity in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)**

County of Provider	White, Non-Hispanic	Hispanic, Any Race	Non-Hispanic Minority Groups				Other	Missing
			Black or African American	Asian or Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native			
01 ADAMS	38	193	0	0	0	13	1	
02 ASOTIN	225	24	3	0	4	7	8	
03 BENTON	939	655	27	13	4	158	91	
04 CHELAN	474	639	1	1	7	73	44	
05 CLALLAM	541	32	3	10	62	20	17	
06 CLARK	2,848	384	222	61	20	126	247	
07 COLUMBIA	19	5	0	0	0	0	0	
08 COWLITZ	1,233	152	15	11	21	36	78	
09 DOUGLAS	212	295	1	4	3	26	16	
10 FERRY	38	3	0	0	10	0	2	
11 FRANKLIN	250	1,238	32	6	5	156	79	
12 GARFIELD	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	
13 GRANT	504	867	18	2	5	71	15	
14 GRAYS HARBOR	490	116	10	2	39	15	22	
15 ISLAND	207	47	17	6	2	15	43	
16 JEFFERSON	155	4	1	1	3	3	9	
17 KING	3,960	1,402	3,477	733	129	1,164	958	
18 KITSAP	992	104	142	47	58	55	154	
19 KITTITAS	148	22	3	4	1	13	14	
20 KLICKITAT	86	12	1	0	8	2	3	
21 LEWIS	722	84	4	1	5	26	46	
22 LINCOLN	39	5	0	0	3	0	2	
23 MASON	354	63	3	2	22	13	22	
24 OKANOGAN	222	242	3	0	114	16	9	
25 PACIFIC	94	25	2	0	3	3	5	
26 PEND OREILLE	59	0	0	0	1	1	1	
27 PIERCE	3,411	625	1,716	274	105	424	767	
28 SAN JUAN	39	7	2	2	0	1	2	
29 SKAGIT	676	595	15	2	17	86	48	
30 SKAMANIA	50	4	0	1	3	6	3	
31 SNOHOMISH	2,728	466	234	85	104	282	344	
32 SPOKANE	5,076	289	310	49	175	182	276	
33 STEVENS	240	9	4	1	46	6	5	
34 THURSTON	1,652	159	109	58	20	94	140	
35 WAHIAKUM	12	2	0	0	0	0	1	
36 WALLA WALLA	254	245	4	7	1	33	19	
37 WHATCOM	922	331	18	32	82	88	65	
38 WHITMAN	132	12	11	5	6	7	3	
39 YAKIMA	1,220	3,314	35	31	189	444	211	
40 OUT OF STATE	226	36	27	2	4	8	15	
TOTAL	31,489	12,708	6,468	1,451	1,280	3,674	3,784	

Source: SSPS payment files, CAMIS licensing files, and ACES eligibility files.

Notes: 1. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family--information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 2. Ethnicity was coded based on information from ACES and SSPS; SSPS has only one field available for the coding of both race and ethnicity.

**Table 9:
Percent of Children Using Working Connections Child Care
by Ethnicity in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)**

County of Provider	White, Non-Hispanic	Hispanic, Any Race	Non-Hispanic Minority Groups				Other	Missing
			Black or African American	Asian or Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native			
01 ADAMS	15.5%	78.6%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	5.1%	0.5%	
02 ASOTIN	83.3%	8.8%	0.9%	0.1%	1.4%	2.7%	2.8%	
03 BENTON	49.8%	34.7%	1.4%	0.7%	0.2%	8.4%	4.8%	
04 CHELAN	38.3%	51.6%	0.1%	0.1%	0.6%	5.9%	3.6%	
05 CLALLAM	79.1%	4.7%	0.4%	1.4%	9.0%	2.9%	2.5%	
06 CLARK	72.9%	9.8%	5.7%	1.6%	0.5%	3.2%	6.3%	
07 COLUMBIA *								
08 COWLITZ	79.8%	9.9%	1.0%	0.7%	1.4%	2.3%	5.0%	
09 DOUGLAS	38.1%	53.0%	0.2%	0.6%	0.5%	4.7%	2.9%	
10 FERRY	71.3%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	19.4%	0.6%	3.7%	
11 FRANKLIN	14.2%	70.1%	1.8%	0.3%	0.3%	8.8%	4.5%	
12 GARFIELD *								
13 GRANT	34.0%	58.5%	1.2%	0.1%	0.4%	4.8%	1.0%	
14 GRAYS HARBOR	70.6%	16.6%	1.5%	0.3%	5.6%	2.2%	3.2%	
15 ISLAND	61.5%	13.8%	5.0%	1.7%	0.7%	4.5%	12.8%	
16 JEFFERSON	87.8%	2.4%	0.5%	0.7%	1.6%	1.8%	5.3%	
17 KING	33.5%	11.9%	29.4%	6.2%	1.1%	9.8%	8.1%	
18 KITSAP	63.9%	6.7%	9.2%	3.1%	3.8%	3.6%	9.9%	
19 KITTITAS	71.9%	10.8%	1.4%	2.1%	0.4%	6.4%	6.9%	
20 KLUCKITAT	76.8%	10.8%	0.8%	0.0%	7.0%	1.9%	2.7%	
21 LEWIS	81.3%	9.4%	0.5%	0.1%	0.6%	2.9%	5.2%	
22 LINCOLN	78.9%	10.5%	0.2%	0.0%	6.3%	0.5%	3.6%	
23 MASON	73.9%	13.2%	0.6%	0.4%	4.6%	2.7%	4.6%	
24 OKANOGAN	36.6%	40.0%	0.5%	0.1%	18.8%	2.6%	1.4%	
25 PACIFIC	72.1%	18.8%	1.7%	0.1%	1.9%	2.0%	3.5%	
26 PEND OREILLE	94.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	1.5%	1.5%	
27 PIERCE	46.6%	8.5%	23.4%	3.7%	1.4%	5.8%	10.5%	
28 SAN JUAN	73.1%	13.7%	3.8%	3.8%	0.0%	1.9%	3.6%	
29 SKAGIT	47.0%	41.3%	1.0%	0.2%	1.2%	6.0%	3.3%	
30 SKAMANIA	75.1%	5.4%	0.0%	1.5%	4.0%	9.2%	4.7%	
31 SNOHOMISH	64.3%	11.0%	5.5%	2.0%	2.4%	6.7%	8.1%	
32 SPOKANE	79.8%	4.6%	4.9%	0.8%	2.8%	2.9%	4.3%	
33 STEVENS	77.3%	3.0%	1.2%	0.3%	14.7%	2.0%	1.5%	
34 THURSTON	74.0%	7.1%	4.9%	2.6%	0.9%	4.2%	6.3%	
35 WAHIAKUM *								
36 WALLA WALLA	45.1%	43.6%	0.7%	1.2%	0.1%	5.9%	3.4%	
37 WHATCOM	60.0%	21.5%	1.1%	2.1%	5.3%	5.7%	4.2%	
38 WHITMAN	74.9%	6.8%	6.4%	2.6%	3.5%	4.1%	1.7%	
39 YAKIMA	22.4%	60.9%	0.6%	0.6%	3.5%	8.2%	3.9%	
40 OUT OF STATE	71.3%	11.4%	8.4%	0.7%	1.2%	2.4%	4.6%	
TOTAL	51.7%	20.9%	10.6%	2.4%	2.1%	6.0%	6.2%	

Source: SSPS payment files, CAMIS licensing files, and ACES eligibility files.

Notes: 1. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 2. Ethnicity was coded based on information from ACES and SSPS; SSPS has only one field available for the coding of both race and ethnicity. 3. Percent is based on average monthly number of children. 4. * Starred counties: percentage data for three counties serving less than 25 children per month on WCCC is suppressed because the percentage calculations for those counties will fluctuate widely based on the movement of a few cases.

Table 10:
Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care
by Age of Child in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)
TANF Only

	County of Provider	Infant	Toddler	Preschool	School-Age
01	ADAMS	5	6	11	11
02	ASOTIN	8	16	21	14
03	BENTON	25	66	141	133
04	CHELAN	20	26	56	54
05	CLALLAM	9	37	70	63
06	CLARK	71	153	321	327
07	COLUMBIA	0	0	1	3
08	COWLITZ	45	84	152	139
09	DOUGLAS	5	10	16	20
10	FERRY	1	2	4	5
11	FRANKLIN	32	54	97	94
12	GARFIELD	0	0	0	0
13	GRANT	32	64	97	96
14	GRAYS HARBOR	20	43	80	70
15	ISLAND	4	10	19	18
16	JEFFERSON	4	10	14	10
17	KING	256	589	1,188	1,141
18	KITSAP	29	64	134	105
19	KITTITAS	3	9	23	15
20	KLICKITAT	3	8	17	7
21	LEWIS	28	44	84	65
22	LINCOLN	1	0	1	2
23	MASON	10	22	33	24
24	OKANOGAN	8	12	31	17
25	PACIFIC	1	7	10	8
26	PEND OREILLE	1	5	6	7
27	PIERCE	143	351	680	700
28	SAN JUAN	0	0	0	6
29	SKAGIT	25	49	92	87
30	SKAMANIA	0	2	6	14
31	SNOHOMISH	92	202	380	322
32	SPOKANE	123	236	414	411
33	STEVENS	6	9	20	21
34	THURSTON	45	90	165	175
35	WAHKIAKUM	0	0	2	1
36	WALLA WALLA	8	18	41	36
37	WHATCOM	34	70	122	105
38	WHITMAN	3	5	7	8
39	YAKIMA	115	231	417	412
40	OUT OF STATE	7	13	25	19
	TOTAL	1,222	2,616	4,997	4,761

Source: SSPS payment files, CAMIS licensing files, and ACES eligibility files.

Notes: 1. TANF status was determined based on linkage of primary recipient (household head) information in SSPS payment file to TANF receipt as indicated in the ACES eligibility file. 2. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 3. The following breakdown was used for age categories: Infant, under 12 months old in the month of service; toddler, 12-29 months old; preschool, 30 or more months old and not eligible by age to be enrolled in kindergarten; school-age, eligible by age to be in kindergarten or a higher grade.

Table 11:
Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care
by Age of Child in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)
Non-TANF Only

County of Provider	Infant	Toddler	Preschool	School-Age
01 ADAMS	24	38	80	71
02 ASOTIN	10	34	86	80
03 BENTON	84	225	528	684
04 CHELAN	85	172	389	439
05 CLALLAM	15	87	202	201
06 CLARK	138	408	1,096	1,393
07 COLUMBIA	1	1	9	10
08 COWLITZ	68	174	407	477
09 DOUGLAS	42	80	169	215
10 FERRY	2	9	15	17
11 FRANKLIN	124	262	506	597
12 GARFIELD	1	0	1	1
13 GRANT	96	204	408	485
14 GRAYS HARBOR	25	80	170	208
15 ISLAND	21	39	108	119
16 JEFFERSON	5	20	53	61
17 KING	466	1,397	3,278	3,508
18 KITSAP	65	201	484	471
19 KITTITAS	7	25	67	57
20 KLINKITAT	6	12	29	30
21 LEWIS	34	99	246	287
22 LINCOLN	2	5	18	20
23 MASON	17	59	153	161
24 OKANOGAN	46	98	205	189
25 PACIFIC	4	15	37	49
26 PEND OREILLE	1	5	10	26
27 PIERCE	290	872	1,949	2,338
28 SAN JUAN	1	6	22	18
29 SKAGIT	69	204	451	464
30 SKAMANIA	1	2	20	20
31 SNOHOMISH	169	533	1,244	1,300
32 SPOKANE	280	802	1,883	2,207
33 STEVENS	15	42	92	106
34 THURSTON	86	274	640	758
35 WAHKIAKUM	2	3	3	4
36 WALLA WALLA	32	70	189	168
37 WHATCOM	69	188	439	510
38 WHITMAN	12	29	51	62
39 YAKIMA	306	721	1,486	1,755
40 OUT OF STATE	15	51	103	85
TOTAL	2,738	7,544	17,327	19,649

Source: SSPS payment files, CAMIS licensing files, and ACES eligibility files.

Notes: 1. TANF status was determined based on linkage of primary recipient (household head) information in SSPS payment file to TANF receipt as indicated in the ACES eligibility file. 2. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 3. The following breakdown was used for age categories: Infant, under 12 months old in the month of service; toddler, 12-29 months old; preschool, 30 or more months old and not eligible by age to be enrolled in kindergarten; school-age, eligible by age to be in kindergarten or a higher grade.

**Table 12:
Average Monthly Number of Children Using
Working Connections Child Care by Age of Child in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)**

County of Provider	Infant	Toddler	Preschool	School-Age
01 ADAMS	29	44	91	82
02 ASOTIN	18	50	108	94
03 BENTON	109	291	669	817
04 CHELAN	104	198	445	492
05 CLALLAM	24	124	273	264
06 CLARK	209	561	1,417	1,720
07 COLUMBIA	1	1	10	13
08 COWLITZ	113	258	560	616
09 DOUGLAS	47	90	185	234
10 FERRY	3	11	18	22
11 FRANKLIN	156	316	604	691
12 GARFIELD	1	0	1	1
13 GRANT	129	268	505	581
14 GRAYS HARBOR	45	122	250	278
15 ISLAND	25	49	126	137
16 JEFFERSON	10	30	67	70
17 KING	722	1,986	4,467	4,649
18 KITSAP	94	265	618	576
19 KITTITAS	10	34	90	72
20 KLUCKITAT	9	20	46	37
21 LEWIS	62	144	330	352
22 LINCOLN	2	5	20	22
23 MASON	27	80	185	185
24 OKANOGAN	53	110	236	206
25 PACIFIC	5	21	47	57
26 PEND OREILLE	2	10	17	33
27 PIERCE	433	1,223	2,628	3,038
28 SAN JUAN	1	6	22	24
29 SKAGIT	94	253	542	551
30 SKAMANIA	1	5	26	34
31 SNOHOMISH	261	735	1,624	1,623
32 SPOKANE	403	1,039	2,297	2,618
33 STEVENS	21	51	112	127
34 THURSTON	132	364	805	933
35 WAHKIAKUM	2	3	5	5
36 WALLA WALLA	40	88	230	204
37 WHATCOM	103	258	562	615
38 WHITMAN	15	34	57	70
39 YAKIMA	422	952	1,903	2,167
40 OUT OF STATE	22	64	128	103
TOTAL	3,960	10,161	22,324	24,410

Source: SSPS payment files, CAMIS licensing files, and ACES eligibility files.

Notes: 1. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 2. The following break-down was used for age categories: Infant, under 12 months old in the month of service; toddler, 12-29 months old; preschool, 30 or more months old and not eligible by age to be enrolled in kindergarten; school-age, eligible by age to be in kindergarten or a higher grade.


**Table 13:
Percent of Children Using Working Connections Child Care
by Age of Child in SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)**

County of Provider	Infant	Toddler	Preschool	School-Age
01 ADAMS	11.8%	17.9%	37.0%	33.4%
02 ASOTIN	6.8%	18.4%	39.9%	34.8%
03 BENTON	5.8%	15.4%	35.5%	43.3%
04 CHELAN	8.4%	16.0%	35.9%	39.7%
05 CLALLAM	3.5%	18.1%	39.8%	38.6%
06 CLARK	5.4%	14.4%	36.3%	44.0%
07 COLUMBIA *				
08 COWLITZ	7.3%	16.7%	36.2%	39.8%
09 DOUGLAS	8.5%	16.2%	33.2%	42.1%
10 FERRY	5.6%	19.7%	34.2%	40.5%
11 FRANKLIN	8.8%	17.9%	34.2%	39.1%
12 GARFIELD *				
13 GRANT	8.7%	18.1%	34.0%	39.2%
14 GRAYS HARBOR	6.4%	17.6%	36.0%	40.0%
15 ISLAND	7.4%	14.7%	37.5%	40.5%
16 JEFFERSON	5.4%	17.0%	37.9%	39.8%
17 KING	6.1%	16.8%	37.8%	39.3%
18 KITSAP	6.1%	17.1%	39.8%	37.1%
19 KITTITAS	4.9%	16.6%	43.6%	34.9%
20 KLINKITAT	8.0%	17.8%	41.1%	33.2%
21 LEWIS	7.0%	16.2%	37.2%	39.6%
22 LINCOLN	4.3%	11.1%	40.5%	44.2%
23 MASON	5.7%	16.8%	38.7%	38.7%
24 OKANOGAN	8.8%	18.1%	39.0%	34.1%
25 PACIFIC	4.2%	16.3%	35.9%	43.7%
26 PEND OREILLE	3.6%	16.5%	26.9%	53.0%
27 PIERCE	5.9%	16.7%	35.9%	41.5%
28 SAN JUAN	2.4%	10.4%	42.5%	44.7%
29 SKAGIT	6.5%	17.5%	37.7%	38.3%
30 SKAMANIA	2.0%	6.8%	39.2%	52.0%
31 SNOHOMISH	6.2%	17.3%	38.3%	38.2%
32 SPOKANE	6.3%	16.3%	36.1%	41.2%
33 STEVENS	6.8%	16.3%	36.2%	40.8%
34 THURSTON	5.9%	16.3%	36.0%	41.8%
35 WAHKIAKUM *				
36 WALLA WALLA	7.1%	15.7%	41.0%	36.3%
37 WHATCOM	6.7%	16.8%	36.5%	40.0%
38 WHITMAN	8.4%	19.3%	32.5%	39.7%
39 YAKIMA	7.7%	17.5%	35.0%	39.8%
40 OUT OF STATE	7.1%	20.1%	40.3%	32.5%
TOTAL	6.5%	16.7%	36.7%	40.1%


Source: SSPS payment files, CAMIS licensing files, and ACES eligibility files.

Notes: 1. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 2. The following break-down was used for age categories: Infant, under 12 months old in the month of service; toddler, 12-29 months old; preschool, 30 or more months old and not eligible by age to be enrolled in kindergarten; school-age, eligible by age to be in kindergarten or a higher grade. 3. Percent is based on average monthly number of children. 4. * Starred counties: percentage data for three counties serving less than 25 children per month on WCCC is suppressed because the percentage calculations for those counties will fluctuate widely based on the movement of a few cases.


**Map 1:
Percent of WCCC Children on TANF,
by County of Provider for SFY06**




**Map 2:
Percent of WCCC Children Using Providers Exempt from Licensing,
by County of Provider for SFY06**




**Map 3:
Percent of WCCC Children Using Center Providers,
by County of Provider for SFY06**




**Map 4:
Percent of WCCC Children Using Licensed Family Home Providers,
by County of Provider for SFY06**




**Map 5:
White, Non-Hispanic Children as a Percent of All WCCC Children,
by County of Provider for SFY06**




**Map 6:
Hispanic Children (Any Race) as a Percent of All WCCC Children,
by County of Provider for SFY06**




**Map 7:
Black or African American Children as a Percent of All WCCC Children,
by County of Provider for SFY06**



**Map 8:
Asian or Pacific Islander Children as a Percent of All WCCC Children,
by County of Provider for SFY06**



**Map 9:
American Indian or Alaska Native Children as a Percent of All WCCC Children,
by County of Provider for SFY06**



**Graph 1:
Percent of Children Using Working Connections Child Care by Facility Type in
SFY06 (July 2005-June 2006)**

